| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1} \\ & \text { (i) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} X \sim \mathrm{~B}(17,0.2) & \\ \mathrm{P}(X \geq 4)= & 1-\mathrm{P}(X \leq 3) \\ & =1-0.5489=0.4511 \end{aligned}$ | B1 for 0.5489 <br> M1 for 1 - their 0.5489 <br> A1 CAO | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | $\mathrm{E}(X)=n p=17 \times 0.2=3.4$ | M1 for product A1 CAO | 2 |
| (iii) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}(X=2)=0.3096-0.1182=0.1914 \\ & \mathrm{P}(X=3)=0.5489-0.3096=0.2393 \\ & \mathrm{P}(X=4)=0.7582-0.5489=0.2093 \end{aligned}$ <br> So 3 applicants is most likely | B1 for 0.2393 <br> B1 for 0.2093 <br> A1 CAO dep on both B1s | 3 |
| (iv) | (A) Let $p=$ probability of a randomly selected maths graduate applicant being successful (for population) <br> $\mathrm{H}_{0}: p=0.2$ <br> $\mathrm{H}_{1}: p>0.2$ <br> (B) $\quad{ }_{1}$ has this form as the suggestion is that mathematics graduates are more likely to be successful. | B1 for definition of $p$ in context <br> B1 for $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ <br> B1 for $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ <br> E1 | 4 |
| (v) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Let } X \sim \mathrm{~B}(17,0.2) \\ & \mathrm{P}(X \geq 6)=1-\mathrm{P}(X \leq 5)=1-0.8943=0.1057>5 \% \\ & \mathrm{P}(X \geq 7)=1-\mathrm{P}(X \leq 6)=1-0.9623=0.0377<5 \% \end{aligned}$ <br> So critical region is $\{7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17\}$ | B1 for 0.1057 <br> B1 for 0.0377 <br> M1 for at least one comparison with 5\% A1 CAO for critical region dep on M1 and at least one B1 | 4 |
| (vi) | Because $\mathrm{P}(X \geq 6)=0.1057>10 \%$ <br> Either: comment that 6 is still outside the critical region Or comparison $\mathrm{P}(X \geq 7)=0.0377<10 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { E1 } \\ & \text { E1 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 18 |


| $2$ <br> (i) | (A) $\quad \mathrm{P}$ (both) $=\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}=\frac{4}{9}$ <br> (B) $\quad \mathrm{P}($ one $)=2 \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{4}{9}$ <br> (C) $\quad \mathrm{P}$ (neither) $=\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{9}$ | B1 CAO <br> B1 CAO <br> B1 CAO | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | Independence necessary because otherwise, the probability of one seed germinating would change according to whether or not the other one germinates. <br> May not be valid as the two seeds would have similar growing conditions eg temperature, moisture, etc. NB Allow valid alternatives | E1 <br> E1 | 2 |
| (iii) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expected number }=2 \times \frac{2}{3}=\frac{4}{3}(=1.33) \\ & E\left(X^{2}\right)=0 \times \frac{1}{9}+1 \times \frac{4}{9}+4 \times \frac{4}{9}=\frac{20}{9} \\ & \operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{20}{9}-\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{2}=\frac{4}{9}=0.444 \end{aligned}$ <br> NB use of npq scores M1 for product, A1CAO | B1 FT <br> M1 for $E\left(X^{2}\right)$ <br> A1 CAO | 3 |
| (iv) | Expect $200 \times \frac{8}{9}=177.8$ plants <br> So expect $0.85 \times 177.8=151$ onions | M1 for $200 \times \frac{8}{9}$ <br> M1 dep for $\times 0.85$ <br> A1 CAO | 3 |
| (v) | Let $X \sim \mathrm{~B}(18, p)$ <br> Let $p=$ probability of germination (for population) $\mathrm{H}_{0}: p=0.90$ $\mathrm{H}_{1}: p<0.90$ $\mathrm{P}(X \leq 14)=0.0982>5 \%$ <br> So not enough evidence to reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ Conclude that there is not enough evidence to indicate that the germination rate is below $90 \%$. <br> Note: use of critical region method scores <br> M1 for region $\{0,1,2, \ldots, 13\}$ <br> M1 for 14 does not lie in critical region then A1 E1 as per scheme | B1 for definition of $p$ <br> B1 for $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ <br> B1 for $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ <br> M1 for probability M1 dep for comparison A1 E1 for conclusion in context | 7 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 18 |


| 3 (i) | $\mathrm{P}(X=2)=\binom{3}{2} \times 0.87^{2} \times 0.13=0.2952$ | M1 $0.87^{2} \times 0.13$ <br> M1 $\binom{3}{2} \times p^{2} q$ with $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}=1$ <br> A1 CAO | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | In 50 throws expect 50 (0.2952) = 14.76 times | B1 FT | 1 |
| (iii) | P (two 20's twice) $=\binom{4}{2} \times 0.2952^{2} \times 0.7048^{2}=0.2597$ | M1 $0.2952^{2} \times 0.7048^{2}$ <br> A1 FT their 0.2952 | 2 |
|  |  | TOTAL | 6 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4
(i) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(X \sim B(20,0.1)\) \\
(A) \(\quad \mathrm{P}(\boldsymbol{X}=1)=\binom{20}{1} \times 0.1 \times 0.9^{19}=0.2702\) \\
OR from tables \(0.3917-0.1216=0.2701\) \\
(B) \(\mathrm{P}(\boldsymbol{X} \geq 1)=1-0.1216=0.8784\)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
M1 \(\quad 0.1 \times 0.9^{19}\) \\
M1 \(\binom{20}{1} \times p q^{19}\) \\
A1 CAO \\
OR: M2 for 0.3917 - \\
0.1216 A1 CAO \\
M1 \(\mathrm{P}(X=0)\) provided that \(P(X \geq 1)=1-P(X \leq 1)\) not seen \\
M1 1- \(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}=0)\) \\
A1 CAO
\end{tabular} \& 3
3 \\
\hline (ii) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
EITHER: \(1-0.9^{n} \geq 0.8\) \\
\(0.9^{n} \leq 0.2\) \\
Minimum \(n=16\) \\
OR (using trial and improvement): \\
Trial with \(0.9^{15}\) or \(0.9^{16}\) or \(0.9^{17}\) \\
\(1-0.9^{15}=0.7941<0.8\) and \(1-0.9^{16}=0.8147>0.8\) \\
Minimum \(n=16\) \\
NOTE: \(n=16\) unsupported scores SC1 only
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
M1 for \(0.9^{n}\) \\
M1 for inequality \\
A1 CAO \\
M1 \\
M1 \\
A1 CAO
\end{tabular} \& 3 \\
\hline (iii) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
(A) Let \(p=\) probability of a randomly selected rock containing a fossil (for population)
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{H}_{0}: p=0.1 \\
\& \mathrm{H}_{1}: p<0.1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
(B) Let \(X \sim \mathrm{~B}(30,0.1)\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{P}(X \leq 0)=0.0424<5 \% \\
\& \mathrm{P}(X \leq 1)=0.0424+0.1413=0.1837>5 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \\
So critical region consists only of 0 . \\
(C) \\
2 does not lie in the critical region. \\
So there is insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis and we conclude that it seems that \(10 \%\) of rocks in this area contain fossils.
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
B1 for definition of \(p\) \\
B1 for \(\mathrm{H}_{0}\) \\
B1 for \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) \\
M1 for attempt to find \(\mathrm{P}(X \leq 0)\) or \(\mathrm{P}(X \leq 1)\) using binomial M1 for both attempted M1 for comparison of either of the above with 5\% \\
A1 for critical region dep on both comparisons (NB Answer given) \\
M1 for comparison A1 for conclusion in context
\end{tabular} \& 3

4

2 \\
\hline \& \& TOTAL \& 18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

